From:	Lewicki, Chris
To:	Wu, Jennifer; Croxton, Dave; Curtin, James; Chemerys, Ruth; Goodin, John; Monschein, Eric
CC:	Psyk, Christine
Sent:	2/3/2014 4:47:57 PM
Subject:	For John, Jim and Jenny: Chris' comments on draft of brief for Stoner and Perciascepe
Attachments:	Nancy Stoner OR TMDL litigation brief 2_7_14v3 CLL.docx

Jenny

I've attached suggested edits and comments

Please insert a header that says Deliberative Attorney Client Privileged

Jim: I hope you find time to review this, lots of legal issues here could use OGC's gander.

John:
See Jenny's note below. R10 will be briefing Bob P (he's in R10) on Wednesday on Oregon TMDLs and will be using the Stoner briefing as basis for Bob P briefing.   If you have a moment, you may want to take a look at this document in the "Final" view and see if you have any suggestions.

From: Wu, Jennifer
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 12:33 PM
To: Croxton, Dave; Curtin, James; Chemerys, Ruth; Lewicki, Chris
Cc: Psyk, Christine
Subject: RE: draft of brief for Stoner

FYI – Bob Perciasepe is coming to R10 on Wednesday, and we were just told that we'll be briefing him from 9-9:45pm PST on Wednesday. Christine suggested that we use similar talking points to those we have for Nancy. We need to get something to our folks here for Bob by tomorrow, so if you can send your comments by late today/early tomorrow, that would be great.

Chris and Ruth, please feel free to let John and others know about our briefing with Bob.

From: Croxton, Dave
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2014 4:20 PM
To: Curtin, James; Chemerys, Ruth; Lewicki, Chris
Cc: Psyk, Christine; Wu, Jennifer
Subject: draft of brief for Stoner

Jenny and I are not in the office on Friday, so wanted to get our latest version of the Nancy briefing talking points to you for review. For the final briefing package, we will attach a more detailed version of the briefing and the litigation summary as additional background.

Jim,
Ex. 5 - Attorney Client
to reflect your most recent paper on that subject, but would appreciate any summary talking points you might have for that section.

Thanks.